

African Markets Revealed

September 2019



Ferishka Bharuth
Mulalo Madula
Phumelele Mbiyo
Fausio Mussa
Jibrán Qureishi
Dmitry Shishkin
Gbolahan Taiwo

Contents

2	African markets: unperturbed by trade uncertainty
21	Angola: still should exit recession in 2020
27	Botswana: spending in pre-election year to crimp growth
33	Côte d'Ivoire: still strong growth, but some slowing likely
39	DRC: finally, a new cabinet
45	Egypt: growth of likely over 5% in the medium term
51	Ethiopia: GDP growth will likely subside
57	Ghana: transitioning to a period without IMF support
63	Kenya: private sector reforms required
69	Malawi: poised for stronger growth
75	Mauritius: fiscal policy expansionary, ahead of elections
81	Morocco: still stable – but growth may slow
87	Mozambique: peace and LNG projects improve the outlook
93	Namibia: drought delays economic recovery
99	Nigeria: a long, long road
105	Rwanda: robust growth momentum
111	Senegal: set to stage solid growth again
117	Tanzania: infrastructure spending to support growth
123	Tunisia: a year of setbacks
129	Uganda: delay in oil investment decision
135	Zambia: fiscal policy restraint required
141	Glossary

Unperturbed by trade uncertainty

- Our constructive assessment of Africa's economic progress is informed by a number of factors that still point to robust economic growth on a multi-year basis. Commodity-exporting countries that experienced recession at some point between 2015 and 2018 are likely to have economic growth accelerating over the next 2-y. Of course, some of them, like Angola and Namibia, are still in recession. However, even in these economies, growth seems likely to resume next year. Combined with persistently high growth among the non-commodity-exporting countries, economic growth in Africa seems set to accelerate.
- We are not alone in our relatively positive assessment of Africa's growth potential. The IMF's *World Economic Outlook* points to Sub-Saharan Africa as the only region likely to have economic growth accelerating this year relative to last year despite the uncertainty that rising trade protectionism poses to global economic growth. Sure, in its Jul Update of the WEO the IMF lowered its forecast for SSA growth to 3.4% y/y in 2019 from the 3.8% y/y that it had forecast in the Jul 18 Update of the WEO. But the 2019 growth forecast is still higher than the Fund's estimated 3.1% y/y growth in 2018 despite the forecast slowdown in global growth to 3.2% y/y in 2019 from 3.6% y/y in 2018.
- Uncertainty regarding trade is a factor leading to flux in financial markets too. But the nature of the impact of this uncertainty is quite curious. Suppose the end result of the US administration's trade policy was to increase the effective tariff rate on Chinese imports to some number – say 50%. Consider another possible outcome being to increase the effective tariff rate on all imports to the US in the same manner. Then tariffs on Chinese imports would trigger substitution of imports from China to other low-cost manufacturing sources, perhaps in Asia. But an increase in tariffs on all imports would not trigger such substitution. Either way, the implications of either tariff policy on US economic growth could be estimated with a reasonable degree of confidence. Regardless, trade uncertainty hardly warrants the degree of flux we have seen in financial markets, seemingly with every communication from either set of policymakers triggering an exaggerated movement in asset prices.
- There haven't been many opportunities to put on recovery trades in carry or local currency duration trades thus far this year. As the adjacent table shows, the FX return with carry, on the most tradable currencies in our coverage, is less than 5% thus far this year. UGX duration seems sufficiently compelling for us to enter a trade. Perhaps KES duration warrants a careful look. In the 3-m to the end of Jul, it depreciated at over a 10% annualised pace. If the pressure persists in Q4:19, then it might offer the chance of a recovery trade since a surge in flower exports during Q1 tends to support the KES. Given conservative monetary policy management, the MZN is also worth a look. Pity the implied NDF yields are so low and the bonds have this fixed-floating structure. But, even with the upcoming elections, the medium-term outlook for the BOP should be favourable for the MZN.
- We are inclined to believe that the Eurobond market will experience a solid recovery in the next 2 – 3 months. We like Egypt and Senegal, and have recently taken tactical overweight exposure to Gabon and Nigeria.

USD performance, YTD	
Asset class	Return, %
FX	
Africa 8, spot (with carry)	-2.6 (4.8)
Africa 10, spot (with carry)	-2.4 (4.1)
EM 10, spot (with carry)	-0.8 (3.7)
Bloomberg USD index, spot	1.2
Local bonds	
Africa 8	13.3
Africa 10	11.2
EM 10	10.8
Bloomberg DM Sovereign	5.8
Credit	
Africa (ex SA)	16.9
Africa	16.7
EMBI Global	12.2
Bloomberg HY Global Corporate	9.9
Equity	
MSCI Frontier Africa	-5.2
MSCI Africa	2.7
MSCI EM	5.3
MSCI DM	16.5

Source: Bloomberg; Standard Bank Research

SSA growth acceleration proceeding against global slowdown

Despite the often dramatic reaction in the financial markets to every twist and turn of the US-China trade negotiations, we find it hard to believe that the economic impact of this on Africa is going to be significant. Hence, we retain a constructive outlook for economic growth on the continent.

We continue to stress that the channel through which the trade spat between these two countries can affect economic growth on the continent would be through its impact on commodity prices. By extension, it would be the commodity-producing countries that would feel it the most.

Despite these concerns, it is worth pointing out that even the IMF expects economic growth in Sub-Saharan Africa to accelerate to 3.4% y/y this year from 3.1% y/y in 2018, and then further on to 3.6% y/y in 2020. Contrast that with the Fund's forecast deceleration in global growth to 3.2% y/y from 3.6% y/y in 2018. Notably, the Fund expects global growth to accelerate to 3.5% y/y in 2020.

The Jul update of the *World Economic Update* points to the slowing in global growth being widespread. In fact, the forecast recovery in 2020 might be in doubt. The IMF forecasts growth among advanced economies decelerating to 1.9% y/y and 1.7% y/y in 2019 and 2020 respectively from 2.2% y/y in 2018. Interestingly, it expects the deceleration in US economic growth to extend to 2020 as well, with the economy growing by 1.9% y/y from 2.6% y/y it expects for 2019 and the actual growth rate of 2.9% y/y in 2018. Evidently, this deceleration is not limited to the unwinding of the fiscal stimulus in 2018.

The Fund expects Chinese growth to decelerate to 6.2% y/y and 6.0% y/y in 2019 and 2020 respectively from 6.6% y/y in 2018. Curiously, it expects emerging and developing economies to accelerate to 4.7% y/y growth in 2020 from 4.1% y/y in 2019 and 4.5% y/y in 2018. The recovery that the Fund projected in 2020 seems to be predicated on a recovery in Latin America and emerging and developing Europe. Of course, some Latin American countries scored own goals through the macro policies they pursued.

Also noteworthy is the Fund's expectation of a recovery in the euro area in 2020. It expects growth there to accelerate to 1.6% y/y from 1.3% y/y in 2019 and 1.9% y/y in 2018. It expects countries like Germany and Italy to sponsor that recovery. Perhaps if this recovery were not to materialise, then even emerging and developing European countries would not see the revival that the IMF expects.

Trade uncertainty – the impact is probably overstated

One reason we have not been overly concerned about the impact of the trade war on African economic growth is that it is very much US-centric. It is the US administration that is either imposing tariffs or threatening to do so on other countries. First in the crosshairs were the US's NAFTA partners, then China, then the EU. Interestingly, the EU, China, Mexico and Canada are not engaged in any trade war among themselves.

What we find strange regarding the trade war is the responsiveness of the financial markets to every twist and turn, every announcement – be it new tariffs, recanting new tariffs, or going back to imposing them. The market moves can seem to be exaggerated somewhat, with the markets apparently anticipating doom one moment, then prolonged prosperity the next.


Suppose instead there was a reasonable basis to believe that the end-game of all these trade policy moves by the US administration was to increase effective tariff rates in the US on Chinese imports to some number, say 50% or 150%, then the impact of that on US economic growth can be estimated with a fair degree of confidence. Of course, in making such estimates, the market would have to factor in the potential substitution of Chinese imports with imports from other low-cost locations, perhaps also in Asia.

This is, in fact, not an idle academic exercise. There are already some indications that this substitution is taking place. The trajectory of the US trade deficit has not changed much as a result of the trade war. While overall US imports are still elevated, US imports of Chinese goods have slowed down, and has been countered by increased imports from somewhere else such as say Mexico (Figure 1).

So, evidently imposing tariffs on Chinese imports has a distributional effect, shifting US demand away from China. So, the impact of US tariffs on Chinese imports may not affect global demand that much, especially of commodities. It's not so clear what the

impact of retaliatory Chinese tariffs on US imports is. But we suspect it would not affect the prices of the commodities that matter to Africa’s commodity exporters in such a deleterious manner that we would need to get worried.

Figure 1: US import trajectory – quarterly seasonally adjusted data




Source: United States Bureau of Economic Analysis

Of course, there is always the likelihood that the end result of US trade policy moves is to increase the effective tariff rate on all US imports, not just those sourced from China. Arguably, the impact of this trade policy would be a larger negative impact on commodity prices that matter for Africa’s commodity exporters than just tariffs on imports of Chinese goods.

Crucially, the key take-away is that if there was a reasonable basis for predicting what the end game of US trade policy moves is, then much of the flux in financial markets, especially commodity markets, would be far less than what we are seeing right now. For that reason, we don’t see the flux in global financial markets having much impact on Africa’s economic trajectory.

Commodity prices: no longer falling

Figure 2: Commodity prices seem to be bottoming out



Source: Bloomberg

Commodity prices have broadly declined since publication of the last edition of this report in May. Most notably are oil prices that, at the time of writing, were roughly 13%

lower than they were in mid-May. They are off the lows reached during this period, up over 10% from those lows.

There is still plenty to suggest that oil prices will remain mostly above USD60.0/bbl over the next 4 – 6 months. Geopolitical strains surrounding Iran, lately implicated in an attack on a Saudi Arabian oil facility, could push oil prices higher. Additionally, OPEC seems prepared to stick with production quotas to keep prices elevated.

Consensus forecasts for oil prices drifted marginally lower in recent months, with forecasts putting the Brent crude oil price roughly around USD65/bbl at the end of both 2019 and 2020. The average for the Brent crude oil price is near USD65.0/bbl thus far this year. We have kept USD65.0/bbl as the assumption for our forecasting purposes.


Of course, the supply-demand balance for oil might have a significant impact on oil prices. The broad expectation of the International Energy Agency has been that global oil demand growth would subside, thereby potentially depressing oil prices. Any upward revisions to those forecasts might support oil prices. Additionally, the behaviour of OPEC member countries will be crucial. A few, like Nigeria, have been producing in excess of their quota. Non-compliance for an extended time might prompt others to follow suit.

Consensus forecasts for copper prices were consistently revised lower over the course of this year. The evident global economic slowdown may have been a factor leading to those forecast revisions. Yet, even as copper prices approached USD5,600/MT, consensus forecasts envisaged them being above USD6,000/MT by the end of this year and marginally higher by the end of 2020.

Global risk appetite: in flux, but a risk-on bias will probably prevail

At the time of writing the S&P 500 Volatility index had fallen close to the 15 level, having risen above 20 during Aug. To be fair, the index was pretty much at that level when we published the last edition of this report, first having declined to a low of about 12.

Figure 3: Volatility rising again



Source: Bloomberg; Standard Bank Research

That same pattern is likely to be repeated over the next 4 – 6 months. The markets went from worrying that overtightening of monetary policy by the Fed potentially put the US economy on a trajectory to recession. Having seemingly resisted the market’s forecasts, the Fed not only called a pause in its rate hiking cycle but actually switched to cutting the Fed Funds rate. This switch could be enough to resuscitate risk appetite.

There is always a risk that bellicose rhetoric regarding trade from the US administration might agitate the markets now and again over the next 4 – 6 months. However, it is likely that political calculus will start to influence the administration's approach to trade negotiations. Being up for re-election, the US president would be well served by a strong and strengthening economy closer to the election. Evidence thus far has suggested that such bellicose rhetoric undermines sentiment and could add impetus to the slowdown in the real economy. So, refraining from stoking such concerns might be in the president's interest.

Global rates: divergence between US and other developed markets

Over the next 2 – 3 months, perhaps the market will be mostly focussed on whether the Fed will follow through with the widely anticipated cuts to the Fed Funds rate. Consensus forecasts have the Fed Funds rate at 1.75% by the end of the year, 50 bps lower than the current level. During 2020, the consensus expectation is for the Fed to hold its Fed Funds rate unchanged. Steve Barrow, our G10 Strategist, concurs.

Figure 4: US Treasury 10-y yields below Fed Funds rate



Source: Bloomberg

The case for the Fed to cut its policy rates has built up over the course of the past 5-m, with consensus forecasts being ratcheted lower over that period. There has been mounting evidence that economic activity has been decelerating.

Of course, the likelihood of an economic slowdown was not completely a surprise to the market. Economic growth was boosted by fiscal stimulus last year, something that was bound to unwind this year. However, what might have given the market a scare is the impact of the uncertainty regarding trade, especially given the US administration's tariff policy and retaliation by other governments, like China.

Quite frankly, the Fed was slow in coming around to the view that it needed to cut its policy rates. Anxiety in the markets built up in the meantime, with worries mounting that the US economy would slump into a recession. The curve flattened as longer-term yields collapsed. Various parts of the yield curve inverted, heightening that anxiety regarding the economic outlook. Inversion of the US yield curve has typically been a good predictor of US recessions.

US 10-y yields fell below 1.5% in late Aug. Since then, they have risen above 1.7%, the level they were at the time of writing. Perhaps this increase reflects waning concerns about the economic outlook. If the Fed indeed delivers the 50 bps of rate cuts that is the consensus forecast, then there is a likelihood that 10-y rates will increase further. Steve Barrow expects the US 10-y yield to rise to 1.9% by the end of Q2:20, on the way to 2.3% by the end of 2020.

Figure 5: 10-y generic US Treasury and German bund yields



Source: Bloomberg

The situation is a bit different among other developed markets. For instance, in the euro zone yields are likely to initially fall further, becoming more negative. Consensus forecasts for the 10-y Bund yield put it closer to -0.5% by the end of this year, rising to -0.14 by the end of 2020. Steve has the 10-y Bund yield reaching -1.0% in Q1:20, before rebounding to -0.4% by the end of 2020.

The ECB is expected to ease the policy stance, perhaps reviving asset purchases. Of course, the transition in leadership of that institution, with Christine Lagarde to replace Mario Draghi as President of the Governing Council of the ECB, creates a bit of uncertainty. But there is a widespread belief that the ECB is somewhat behind the curve, and more easing is baked in.

Other developed country central banks are likely to ease monetary policy. The BOE, Bank of Canada, Reserve Bank of Australia, Reserve Bank of New Zealand are central banks that Steve Barrow expects to ease monetary policy. In all of these cases, he expects the respective 10-y yields to initially fall in the next 3 – 6 months.

Figure 6: EM 10-y average bond yields versus US Treasury 10-y yields



Source: Bloomberg; Standard Bank Research

Political risks: limited in the next 4-m

Among the countries in our coverage that have elections for the remainder of this year, perhaps the most closely watched will be the Mozambican ones in Oct. The outcome of the elections could be close, and contentious.

Our core view is that these elections will be peaceful, and the aftermath will not be characterised by violence. It certainly helps that the RENAMO party and the government signed a peace agreement that, among other things, commit them to integrating RENAMO's fighters into the army and the decentralisation of power.

The latter could be a crucial aspect, allowing RENAMO to appoint governors in provinces that it has a majority following rather than have those appointed by the central government. Certainly, the stakes will be high. The winner of the elections will essentially oversee the process that will lead to the commencement of commercial production of natural gas.

Arguably, Namibia's elections in Nov are not going to be as close, nor as contentious. The ruling party has a large majority currently. So much so that the internal processes that led to nominations for parliament by the party may actually turn out to be more contentious than the elections themselves.

Ghana's elections are at the end of next year. But their impact on the markets could well be felt over the next 4-m. To be sure, it is not that there is much uncertainty. There are two major political parties that the electorate has switched between every two terms since the reintroduction of multi-party democracy in the early 90's. The ruling NPP won the elections in 2016, and it is looking to retain power. Will that empirical regularity of the electorate giving the party in power another term in office persist? That remains to be seen.

Regardless, the market continues to fret that the NPP will essentially try to buy the election by passing a populist budget next year. There are concerns that the government will not observe the Fiscal Responsibility Act that was passed last year. Hence, we see little to suggest that appetite for GHS bonds will recover anytime soon. To be sure, all these vexations aside, foreign investors have not been exiting the GHS bond market in droves.

Côte d'Ivoire's elections are highly unpredictable, something likely to keep the market apprehensive despite the elections only being next year. As is the norm, coalitions will be formed in the run-up to the Oct 20 elections. Yet, at this stage it is not clear how these will be composed. Guillaume Soro, the former President of the National Assembly who fell out with President Ouattarra, seems to be positioning himself for a presidential run.

Other notable players might be Henri Bedie, who pulled his party from the ruling coalition last year, miffed at the refusal of the ruling party to agree to nominating a presidential candidate from his party. It is not clear whether he intends to run for the presidency. Then there is former President Gbagbo, who was acquitted by the International Criminal Court. While he might not run, an endorsement from him might carry significant weight.

Kenya doesn't have elections to concern the market. Yet the latter will likely focus on the parliamentary processes that relate to the interest rate capping provisions of the rate cap. At the time of writing parliament was working through an amendment to the Banking Act. Naturally, the president would rather have it repealed altogether. A repeal would likely have an impact on credit growth and demand for paper in the T-bill and bond markets.

FX strategy: keeping our core EGP exposure

At the time of writing the AOA and GHS had depreciated by an annualised 30% and 18% respectively over the past 4-m, while the EGP and MZN appreciated by 13% and 15% respectively in annualised terms over the same period.

The USD/AOA move is clearly policy-determined. It's hard to see how far it could go, and more importantly, what would arrest it. Policymakers have indicated their determination to open the AOA fixed income market to foreign investors. There is still no certainty regarding how long it would take before this happens.

It also seems as if the gap between the official exchange rate and the street rate is not converging. There is no definitive evidence to suggest that the backlog of FX demand has been satisfied. But then, given the USD-linked government bonds that are used as hedging instruments, USD buyers whose outstanding demand has not been satisfied are not incurring losses as a result of the AOA depreciation. Hence, even as the currency loses value, the outstanding demand for USD does not shrink. Instead, the stock of government bonds increases.

Yet, it seems highly probable that the speed at which the pair is rising will dissipate in coming months. The acceleration in the pace of increase of the pair, especially since about early May, does not look durable.

Could the upcoming elections in 2020 be a factor pushing USD/GHS higher? After all, we have encountered many investors who express trepidation at the prospect of an election while the government is without an IMF-funded program. For these investors it is highly probable that the government will increase the budget deficit meaningfully next year as the government tries to secure the election.

Well, if that is the case, then such trepidation has not translated into a notable reduction in exposure to GHS bonds. The Central Securities Depository indicates that foreigners were holders of GHS28.58bn in GHS bonds in Jul, not far from the GHS28.87bn in Mar that is the peak thus far this year. The actual peak was GHS29.22bn in Apr 18. From this, it is not so clear that foreign investors are selling down their holdings of GHS bonds.

This trend strongly suggests that in aggregate foreigner investors are not reinvesting coupons, repatriating them instead. Given the high coupon rates, coupon payments are probably substantial. If one assumes an average 18% coupon rate on bonds held by foreigners, then annual coupon payments would be close to GHS5.0bn.

Arguably, the BOP is in a sufficiently strong position that the BOG can provide FX supply to the market, thereby helping to stabilise the exchange rate for an extended period. It is in the government's interest to restrain the pace of depreciation of the GHS. Doing so might help to anchor inflation expectations, and ultimately help to prevent persistent depreciation of the GHS.

USD/ZMW has been mostly around 13.00 since late-Jun, after shooting up from nearly 12 in late -Mar to nearly 14.00 in mid-May. It is hard to see any fundamental developments that would make this stability around the 13.00 level durable. If anything, the decline in the volume of copper exports suggests some underlying weakness in the BOP that would lead to further upward pressure on the pair.

The government continues to service its external debt. This is one reason there has been so much pressure on FX reserve, which have dropped so much that import coverage is less than 2-m at present. Drought conditions in parts of the country may exacerbate the pressure. Food production has been constrained, as has hydro electricity generation. This might prompt imports to supplement inadequate domestic supply. There have already been indications that ZESCO, the electricity utility, will import power from Eskom, the South African utility. Clearly, that would increase the country's FX requirements.

One of the reasons we did not enter a trade even though USD/ZMW spiked between Apr and May is that we didn't have much conviction that BOP fundamentals would

improve. Ultimately, an improvement in the BOP would require a tighter fiscal policy stance. Perhaps even external support, whether it be for the drought, could help provide assistance to the BOP.

The depreciation of the KES of roughly an annualised 8% might not appear to be much. As we have pointed out in other research, there is a seasonal tendency for the KES to depreciate during Q4 of any year due to increased demand for FX on account of dividend payments, among other things. However, it typically recovers in Q1, helped along by increased flower sales.

Incidentally, the last time the KES depreciated by near 8% annualised pace in a 4-m period was in Q4:18. It staged a recovery in Q1:19, appreciating by close to an annualised 5% pace by the end of Mar. So, there might be an opportunity for a tactical trade at some point over the next 2 – 3 months.

Even though in the 4-m to early May the MZN depreciated at close to a 13% annualised pace, low implied yields on NDFs kept us from taking a position. We were certainly convinced that the increase in the pair up to that point would not prove to be enduring.

So, what do we do now that the MZN has appreciated at more than a 15% annualised pace in the past 4-m? Well, we're still not inclined to do anything. Implied yields on NDFs are even lower now than they were in early May.

There is a case to be made that perhaps the prospective improvement in the BOP, combined with prudent monetary policy management, justifies some exposure to the MZN. Even though headline inflation has been 5.0% y/y or lower since the beginning of 2018, the central bank's MPC has been resolute in keeping a fairly tight policy stance. The real policy rate is the highest of the countries in our coverage.

The announcement of the final investment decision by Anadarko on its gas project is one impetus for the probable improvement in the country's BOP. This announcement seems to have bolstered confidence in the local market, as could be expected. Of course, looking forward to the commencement of natural gas exports, the structural transformation of the economy will support the MZN on a multi-year basis.

The elections in Oct are an obvious risk event. While we expect the elections, and the aftermath, to be peaceful, there is always a chance of some noise around it. But the fact that the opposition RENAMO party and the government signed a peace agreement is a positive step. There has also, reportedly, been progress made in integrating RENAMO's fighters into the army.

We have maintained exposure to the EGP since May 17 without interruptions. Of course, at the time we entered the trade we believed that the CBE would truly let the EGP float. Under that scenario, we expected USD/EGP to eventually fall below 16.00.

It is possible that the latter might transpire in the next 12 – 18 months. So, we have no intentions of relinquishing our exposure to the EGP in that time. While there has been a fair amount of volatility in portfolio flows over the past 18-m, it seems as if inflows will turn out to filter through into EGP strength. The first time that holdings of EGP T-bills by foreigners increased over USD20.0bn equivalent in Apr 18; USD/EGP was not too far away from 18.00, having barely moved over the preceding 12-m.

Foreign portfolio holdings of EGP T-bills fell dramatically last year, especially in H2:18 and bottomed out in Dec. The resurgence since then has been associated with appreciation of the EGP. It seems as if the decline in inflation has given further impetus for foreign portfolio inflows into the EGP bond market. This is adding further support to the EGP.

We see no reason to relinquish our exposure to the NGN either in the near term. We have also had long-running exposure to the NGN beginning in late 2016, although there have been breaks. The CBN tends to fine-tune NGN liquidity conditions, which tends to generate a fair bit of volatility in foreign portfolio flows. The sequence goes something like this: after a prolonged period of stability in the FX market, the CBN allows NGN liquidity to build up in the system. Such liquidity build-up then pushes yields, whether they be T-bill yields or those on OMO bills, lower. As yields decline foreign portfolio investors take profit on their NGN positions and exit the market, increasing FX demand. The latter then prompts the CBN to intervene in the FX market, exerting downward pressure on FX reserves. Eventually the CBN will tighten conditions again, leading to an increase in yields and a resurgence of foreign portfolio inflows.


In the past 2 – 3 months we were in the high-liquidity, portfolio-outflow phase of this cycle. It is likely that the CBN will tighten liquidity up over the next 2 – 3 months to bolster FX reserves. Naturally, this would open up interesting re-entry points into the NGN carry trade.

As is always the case, the key risk to this trade is the trajectory of oil prices. While we do not doubt that the CBN would defend the NGN, a sharp and prolonged drop in oil prices, say to less than USD45.0/bbl, would create some policy challenges that might prompt the CBN to let USD/NGN rise in the IEFX window.

Fixed income strategy: focus on East African duration


The case for Ugandan duration is quite strong. We often refer to 15% as a yield level that provides some comfort when investing in African local currency bonds. Not many currencies frequently depreciate at over a 15% annualised pace over a 3-y investment horizon. Instead, most currencies typically remain stable for many months before depreciating sharply on account of some BOP shock. After appropriate policy intervention, the currency typically resumes its stability.

Figure 7: EM10 versus AF10 average 10-y bond yield



Source: Bloomberg; Standard Bank Research

Figure 8: EM10 versus AF10 average 10-y bond return



Source: Bloomberg; Standard Bank Research

Where does the UGX fit into all of this? Well, persistent depreciation of the UGX in excess of a 15% annualised pace is quite rare. In any 3-y investment horizon since 2004 the UGX has depreciated at more than a 15% annualised pace in less than 2% of the time. However, 10-y UGX-denominated bonds are yielding less than 15.0%. But the 14.25% pre-tax yield seems sufficiently attractive at this point. As Figure 7 illustrates, this yield is at the higher end of the yields available on the most tradeable markets in our coverage.

Another factor that enhances the attraction of UGX duration is the low inflation rate, providing an extremely high real rate in the context of the markets in our coverage. The roughly 12% real10-y yield for UGX duration is second only to the Zambian real 10-y

yield. Core inflation has been close to the bottom of the BOU's target range, with hardly any indication that it will rise markedly anytime soon. Food inflation is well contained, and the currency has been relatively stable, thereby removing any concerns about potential passthrough of currency depreciation to inflation.

Given this, it would be reasonable to judge that the bias is for the BOU's MPC to cut the policy rate in the near term rather than raise it. Yet, the committee seems to believe that inflation will rise closer to the upper end of the target range in the medium term, perhaps prompting it to adopt a cautionary stance. Specifically, in the statement the committee released following the Aug policy meeting, the committee indicated that it expected core inflation to rise to a peak of 6.4% y/y in Q4:20 driven by stronger domestic demand. It also seemed to believe the bias for inflation to be to the upside.

Even if the committee is correct in its forecast, a nearly 6.5% inflation rate would provide an *ex-ante* real 10-y yield of close to 7.75%. This would still be in the upper end of the range of real yields in the markets in our coverage.

Higher budgeted domestic borrowing is something of a concern, potentially exerting some upward pressure on bond yields. But the likelihood of this increased borrowing shifting yields materially higher, say taking the 10-y yield back above 16%, seems somewhat low.

As we have already argued, there is a strong likelihood that seasonal factor will conspire to weaken the KES further during Q4:19. However, this will likely be reversed in Q1:20. Rather than expressing this view via either the NDFs or T-bills, we would rather express it via a tactical duration trade. However, we are not ready to commit to the trade just yet.

There could be some volatility in KES bond yields over the next few months. Just to be clear, this has nothing to do with either the direction of monetary policy changes or the trajectory of inflation. Core inflation, as has been consistently communicated by the CBK's MPC that indicates that inflation excluding food and fuel is below 5.0% y/y, is well contained. A spurt in food inflation has dissipated, leaving headline inflation still well within the target range. Additionally, thanks to a broadly stable currency, despite the recent depreciation, there doesn't appear to be latent inflation pressures that would arise from passthrough of KES depreciation to inflation. Hence, it is all the more likely that the MPC will keep its policy stance unchanged too.

Instead, it is the probable repeal of the interest rate cap that is at issue, and the impact thereof on the yield curve. As already argued, while it is hard to handicap this outcome, it seems more probable that the cap will be amended such that it is less restrictive. The ramifications of this could be to persuade banks to expand credit, thereby reducing demand for T-bills and bonds. This shift in demand could exert some upward pressure on bond yields.

Despite our frustration with fiscal policy management, we have retained our exposure to Zambian duration. Yields are quite elevated, having been in excess of 20% in the primary auction since the end of Dec 18. In fact, BOZ data indicates that the average bond yield rate in the 2-y to Dec 18 was about 19.3%.


Such high bond yields are bound to affect fiscal policy in a fundamental way. There is a strong likelihood that interest expenditure will absorb an ever rising proportion of revenues, and account for a bigger share of total spending. Barring a fiscal adjustment this scenario could undermine overall macroeconomic stability.

This might be the year that the government finally delivers on the fiscal consolidation to which it has committed itself. We acknowledge, though, that the various shocks to have hit the economy, particularly the drought, could make fiscal consolidation hard to

effect. The government’s budget for FY2019/20 and the medium-term expenditure framework will provide some useful guidance in this respect.

We still favour EGP duration. The Egyptian disinflation that we have been looking for has finally transpired, taking both headline and core inflation into single digits. It seems probable that inflation will stay there on a multi-month basis. The MPC of the CBE will deliver more rate cuts over the coming 6 – 9 months, perhaps returning its policy rates to single digits by mid-2020. There is scope for bond yields to decline further during this period.

Figure 9: AF10 yield curve simple yield average



Source: Bloomberg; Standard Bank Research

It’s hard to see what the impetus for much lower yields in Ghana and Nigeria will be. Hence, our duration exposure in those markets needs a careful review. As we have pointed out before, our favourite trades are recovery trades. Neither Ghana nor Nigeria can be characterised as such. Indeed, the GHS duration position is the antithesis of a recovery trade. We have held the position since late 2016. At the time, it seemed reasonable to believe that an improving BOP and ongoing disinflation would slow the pace of depreciation of the GHS while also leading to lower government bond yields.

The currency drag on this trade has been far too high. While inflation is low, resulting in very high real yields, the third-largest in the tradable markets in our coverage universe, we don’t see impetus for much lower yields. A year ago, we surmised that perhaps the ideal strategy would be to actively trade these bonds, buying them when yields rise well above 20%, perhaps close to 22%, and sell them at yields in the high teens. Well, there hasn’t been much chance to do that.

Earlier we alluded to an NGN liquidity cycle that the CBN has encouraged to develop in the past 2-y or so. Perhaps we should look to actively trade the bonds, taking advantage of these liquidity swings.

African Eurobonds: spread compression ahead

African Eurobonds have outperformed the broader EM, as measured by the EMBI Global Index, as the table on page 2 indicates. Incidentally, African Eurobonds outperformed local currency bonds too, returning over 16% at the time of writing, compared with just over 13% for local currency bonds as measured by our Africa 8 index.

It hasn’t been straightforward, with gyrations in global risk sentiment due to the trade war not leaving African Eurobonds unscathed. Earlier in the year, African Eurobonds were swept up in the bullish run in risk assets that followed the decision by the Fed to pause. But when the trade war’s so-called truce was over, sentiment deteriorated,

undermining risk assets like African Eurobonds. Lately, easing by the Fed has added impetus to risk assets, with African Eurobonds dragged along as well. This will likely last for a few months.

To be sure, increased confidence that the Fed will lower its policy rates seems to have removed anxiety about economic growth from the market. Hence, US Treasury yields have increased, with the market also seemingly reducing the magnitude of rate cuts that it is pricing the Fed to make. With that increase in base rates, spreads have compressed since mid-Aug. Yet, interestingly, African sovereign spreads are little changed from their levels in mid-May.

We still believe that the improvement in Egypt's macroeconomic performance warrants an overweight position, one of our core overweight positions. The government's determination to arrest the debt is indubitable. In all likelihood it will target primary surpluses, perhaps of at least 2% of GDP, in the coming 3 – 5 years. We are likely to see the economy continue to record solid economic growth above 5.0% y/y on a multi-year basis. Even though the major credit rating agencies have upgraded the country's credit rating, there is a chance of more upgrades in the next 2-y.

Senegal is another core overweight. Despite election uncertainty now out of the way, Senegalese bonds have underperformed, especially against Côte d'Ivoire and Kenya. We suspect that the latter was playing catchup. Hence, it is likely that Senegal will outperform again.

With tensions between the US and China thawing in recent weeks, we have taken a decision to tactically increase our exposure to oil sovereigns, specifically Gabon and Nigeria. Gabon has underperformed the other oil sovereigns, something we believe will not be enduring.

We have recently chosen to increase our exposure to long duration bonds. One motivation for switching to longer duration bonds is that the spread between these and shorter duration bonds is close to historical highs. Take the spread between the Egypt '47s and '23s. At the time of writing it was nearly 2 standard deviations away from the mean. Similarly, the spread between the Senegal '48s and '21s was nearly 1.9 standard deviations away from the mean. Notably, over the past month or so, this spread has stopped widening. There is a chance that it might even compress on a multi-week basis.

Hedging CNY exposure

Trade consummated between Africa and China is still predominantly conducted in USD. Yet, for importers, it is often cheaper to hedge CNY exposure than to hedge USD exposure. Standard Bank offers forwards that allow African importers to hedge CNY exposure.

Indicative CNY forward prices

	Historical prices				Forward prices		
	-12m	-6m	-3m	spot	+3m	+6m	+12m
CNY/BWP	1.54	1.60	1.55	1.54	1.54	1.54	1.53
CNY/GHS	0.71	0.77	0.79	0.78	0.80	0.83	0.88
CNY/KES	14.67	15.01	14.91	14.70	14.78	14.87	15.05
CNY/MUR	5.00	5.20	5.19	5.10	5.09	5.08	5.06
CNY/ZAR	2.06	2.16	2.05	2.07	2.09	2.11	2.15
CNY/UGX	555.87	553.44	538.10	518.86	525.44	534.17	557.96


Source: Bloomberg Standard Bank Research

African Eurobonds

Name	Moody's/Fitch	Mid Price	Mod Dur	Yield, %	Spread, bps		Spread change, bps			Total Return, %		
					Over UST	Z-Spread	1 wk	YTD	12mths	1 wk	YTD	12mths
ANGOL 9.5% 12-NOV-2025	B3/B	113.754	4.6	6.73	498	504	-15	-92	35	-0.6	14.2	11.3
ANGOL 8.25% 09-MAY-2028	B3/	104.527	6.0	7.53	573	580	-14	-80	52	-0.8	16.6	12.1
ANGOL 9.375% 08-MAY-2048	B3/	106.809	10.1	8.72	680	685	-14	-54	65	-1.5	21.0	13.5
REPCAM 9.5% 19-NOV-2025	/B	109.246	4.0	7.31	556	562	-22	-98	-47	-0.1	13.7	15.6
REPCON 3% 30-JUN-2029	/CCC	88.046	4.0	9.01	726	728	-9	-167	-142	-0.7	18.0	21.6
EGYPT 6.125% 31-JAN-2022	B2/B+	103.816	2.2	4.40	264	264	-11	-164	-103	-0.2	9.9	11.3
EGYPT 5.577% 21-FEB-2023	B2u/B+	103.138	3.1	4.58	283	287	-15	-171	-99	-0.3	12.7	13.5
EGYPT 6.2004% 01-MAR-2024	B2/B+	105.283	3.9	4.87	312	318	-16			-0.4		
EGYPT 5.875% 11-JUN-2025	B2/B+	103.348	4.8	5.19	346	351	-16	-164	-67	-0.5	18.0	16.3
EGYPT 7.5% 31-JAN-2027	B2/B+	108.435	5.6	6.06	427	435	-11	-140	-32	-0.9	18.5	16.1
EGYPT 6.588% 21-FEB-2028	B2u/B+	102.384	6.4	6.22	442	449	-14	-124	-20	-0.9	19.4	16.6
EGYPT 7.6003% 01-MAR-2029	B2/B+	107.280	6.8	6.55	474	481	-9			-1.3		
EGYPT 6.875% 30-APR-2040	B2/B+	98.904	10.6	6.99	504	512	-19	-110	-15	-1.2	27.8	21.6
EGYPT 8.5% 31-JAN-2047	B2/B+	108.676	11.0	7.73	576	585	-16	-99	9	-1.6	25.9	19.2
EGYPT 8.7002% 01-MAR-2049	B2/B+	109.621	11.2	7.86	588	598	-16			-1.6		
EGYPT 7.903% 21-FEB-2048	B2u/B+	103.151	11.4	7.63	565	575	-13	-89	13	-1.9	25.3	18.8
ETHIOP 6.625% 11-DEC-2024	B1/B	105.014	4.3	5.51	376	382	-26	-119	-34	-0.1	14.3	13.7
GABON 6.375% 12-DEC-2024	/B	97.529	3.6	7.06	532	537	-37	-113	33	0.4	13.5	10.9
GABON 6.95% 16-JUN-2025	Caa1/B	97.962	4.6	7.39	564	570	-37	-89	59	0.5	14.5	10.7
GHANA 7.875% 07-AUG-2023	B3/B	109.714	3.3	5.09	334	339	-21	-254	-45	-0.2	17.1	12.1
GHANA 8.125% 18-JAN-2026	B3/B	106.158	4.3	6.73	498	504	-15	-155	41	-0.5	16.4	10.7
GHANA 7.875% 26-MAR-2027	B3/B	102.902	4.9	7.08	533	538	-7			-0.9		
GHANA 7.625% 16-MAY-2029	B3/B	100.433	6.1	7.55	575	582	-16	-91	98	-0.7	17.6	8.8
GHANA 10.75% 14-OCT-2030	B1/BB-	127.120	6.4	6.95	515	520	-21	-103	47	-0.4	18.5	12.0
GHANA 8.125% 26-MAR-2032	B3/B	99.790	7.1	8.02	620	625	-20			-0.6		
GHANA 8.627% 16-JUN-2049	B3/B	99.255	10.3	8.69	676	683	-17	-47	97	-1.4	20.4	9.8
GHANA 8.95% 26-MAR-2051	B3/B	100.115	10.0	8.94	702	707	-16			-1.3		
IVYCST 5.375% 23-JUL-2024	Baa3/B+	102.801	4.2	4.72	298	304	-24	-172	-62	-0.2	15.9	14.3
IVYCST 2.5% 31-DEC-2032	/B+	98.577	5.6	6.00	422	425	-2	-108	-4	-1.3	16.2	13.6
IVYCST 6.375% 03-MAR-2028	Baa3/B+	102.875	5.9	5.89	409	418	-18	-137	-41	-0.5	18.9	17.0
IVYCST 6.125% 15-JUN-2033	Baa3/B+	97.020	8.5	6.47	460	467	-25	-91	0	-0.4	21.6	17.4
KENINT 6.875% 24-JUN-2024	/NR	106.711	4.0	5.26	352	358	-5	-218	-117	-0.9	18.4	17.3
KENINT 7% 22-MAY-2027	B2u/B+	105.076	5.2	6.06	429	436	-5			-1.2		
KENINT 7.25% 28-FEB-2028	B2u/B+	104.724	6.3	6.51	471	479	-3	-162	-68	-1.5	22.1	20.4
KENINT 8% 22-MAY-2032	B2u/B+	106.417	7.5	7.18	534	539	-6			-1.7		
KENINT 8.25% 28-FEB-2048	B2u/B+	103.936	11.1	7.90	593	602	-12	-110	-36	-2.0	27.5	25.1
MOROC 4.25% 11-DEC-2022	/BBB-	105.264	3.0	2.54	79	83	-25	-88	-53	0.0	7.9	9.2
MOROC 5.5% 11-DEC-2042	/BBB-	120.919	13.9	4.10	198	220	-28	-50	-3	-0.6	21.6	18.7
MOZAM 10.5% 18-JAN-2023	Caa3u/	104.533	2.9	7.75	600	604	-22	-381	-569	0.0	11.2	24.4
REPnam 5.5% 03-NOV-2021	Baa1/BB+	103.554	2.0	3.74	195	196	-10	-135	-23	-0.2	8.2	7.5
REPnam 5.25% 29-OCT-2025	Baa1/BB+	101.569	5.1	4.95	318	326	-27	-138	-21	0.0	16.8	13.5
NGERIA 6.75% 28-JAN-2021	/B+	103.585	1.3	4.02	214	209	-9	-140	-49	0.0	6.8	7.3
NGERIA 5.625% 27-JUN-2022	B2/B+	103.586	2.5	4.24	247	250	-21	-161	-62	-0.1	10.8	10.4
NGERIA 6.375% 12-JUL-2023	/B+	105.311	3.3	4.83	309	314	-14	-179	-36	-0.4	13.9	11.5
NGERIA 7.625% 21-NOV-2025	B2/B+	110.439	4.9	5.60	385	391	-16	-183		-0.6	19.5	
NGERIA 6.5% 28-NOV-2027	B2/B+	101.303	6.2	6.29	449	457	-19	-123	0	-0.6	19.3	14.8
NGERIA 7.143% 23-FEB-2030	B2/B+	102.447	7.3	6.81	498	505	-14	-111	15	-1.1	20.6	15.2
NGERIA 8.747% 21-JAN-2031	B2/B+	112.243	7.3	7.15	532	538	-14	-114		-1.0	21.4	
NGERIA 7.875% 16-FEB-2032	B2/B+	105.500	7.9	7.20	533	540	-15	-105	38	-1.2	21.4	13.9
NGERIA 7.696% 23-FEB-2038	B2/B+	102.291	9.8	7.47	556	561	-12	-80	32	-1.7	22.4	15.9
NGERIA 9.248% 21-JAN-2049	B2/B+	112.403	10.8	8.13	618	626	-16	-61		-1.4	21.9	
NGERIA 7.625% 28-NOV-2047	B2/B+	99.068	11.2	7.71	573	582	-13	-70	39	-1.8	23.5	15.5
RWANDA 6.625% 02-MAY-2023	/B+	108.632	3.2	4.04	229	234	-20	-198	-125	-0.2	13.8	14.2
SENEGL 8.75% 13-MAY-2021	Baa3/	108.816	1.5	3.23	137	137	-19	-200	-104	0.0	8.2	8.2
SENEGL 6.25% 30-JUL-2024	Baa3/	109.050	4.2	4.18	243	249	-20	-186	-92	-0.3	16.1	15.2
SENEGL 6.25% 23-MAY-2033	Baa3/	101.010	8.4	6.13	426	433	-20	-99	-14	-0.8	22.0	18.4
SENEGL 6.75% 13-MAR-2048	Baa3/	97.726	12.3	6.94	491	505	-20	-65	-8	-1.5	22.8	21.4
SEYCHE 3% 01-JAN-2026	/BB	103.928	2.8	6.61	485	489	-45	-28	35	0.6	8.0	9.2
SOAF 5.875% 30-MAY-2022	Baa3/BB+	107.125	2.5	3.11	135	137	-29	-82	-70	0.1	7.1	9.4
SOAF 4.665% 17-JAN-2024	Baa3/BB+	105.500	3.9	3.29	155	161	-21	-109	-97	-0.3	11.0	14.0
SOAF 5.875% 16-SEP-2025	Baa3/BB+	110.375	5.1	3.92	215	223	-16	-90	-70	-0.7	12.7	15.6
SOAF 4.875% 14-APR-2026	Baa3/BB+	104.193	5.5	4.14	236	245	-13	-68	-63	-0.9	12.5	16.3
SOAF 4.85% 27-SEP-2027	Baa3/BB+	103.752	6.5	4.29	249	258	-11	-72	-46	-1.1	14.6	16.9
SOAF 4.3% 12-OCT-2028	Baa3/BB+	98.830	7.3	4.46	263	272	-14	-46	-32	-1.1	14.0	17.1
SOAF 5.875% 22-JUN-2030	Baa3/BB+	109.188	8.0	4.77	290	300	-14	-55	-34	-1.2	15.9	18.2
SOAF 6.25% 08-MAR-2041	Baa3/BB+	111.732	12.2	5.34	332	345	-13	-38	-17	-2.2	18.7	21.5
SOAF 5.375% 24-JUL-2044	Baa3/BB+	101.250	13.6	5.28	319	338	-20	-35	-19	-1.6	19.2	22.3
SOAF 6.3% 22-JUN-2048	Baa3/BB+	111.375	13.8	5.51	340	361	-16	-48	-31	-2.1	21.8	24.0
SOAF 5.65% 27-SEP-2047	Baa3/BB+	103.000	13.8	5.44	333	354	-14	-34	-18	-2.3	19.6	22.0
SOAF 5% 12-OCT-2046	Baa3/BB+	96.631	14.2	5.23	309	333	-12	-22	-11	-2.6	18.0	21.0
BTUN 5.75% 30-JAN-2025	B2/B+	92.613	4.5	7.44	570	576	-21	-92	5	-0.3	14.3	13.8
BTUN 8.25% 19-SEP-2027	B2/WD	98.820	5.5	8.46	667	674	-28	38	233	0.1	8.7	1.0
ZAMBIN 5.375% 20-SEP-2022	/CCC	68.202	2.4	19.93	1,818	1,821	7	513	498	-0.4	0.1	6.8
ZAMBIN 8.5% 14-APR-2024	/CCC	69.629	3.2	18.65	1,690	1,696	3	385	295	-0.7	0.9	11.4
ZAMBIN 8.97% 30-JUL-2027	/CCC	69.439	4.4	16.62	1,488	1,491	-2	292	205	-0.9	1.3	12.2
SB Africa Eurobond (incl. SA)	B+		6.9	6.77	496	498	-16	-76	16	-0.9	16.6	15.1
SB Africa Eurobond (excl. SA)	B+		6.6	7.15	535	536	-17	-83	26	-0.8	16.8	14.6


Source: Bloomberg; Standard Bank Research

Figure 10: African sovereign USD bonds (spread over US Treasuries versus modified duration)




Source: Bloomberg; Standard Bank Research

Figure 11: African and broader EM bonds (spread over US Treasuries versus credit rating)




Source: Bloomberg; Standard Bank Research

Figure 12: African Eurobonds (5-y performance)




Source: Bloomberg; Standard Bank Research

Figure 13: African Eurobonds (1-y performance)




Source: Bloomberg; Standard Bank Research

Figure 14: African Eurobonds spread over UST (5-y)




Source: Bloomberg; Standard Bank Research

Figure 15: African Eurobonds spread over UST (1-y)




Source: Bloomberg; Standard Bank Research

Figure 16: Local 2-year bonds vs. past and forecast inflation




Source: Bloomberg; Standard Bank Research

Figure 17: Local 10-year bonds vs. past and forecast inflation



Source: Bloomberg; Standard Bank Research

Figure 18: NDF carry rates vs. implied vols



Source: Bloomberg; Standard Bank Research

Table of expected returns over the next 3 months

Country	Tenor	Current yield	Yield, %			Total return, %		
			Slide	Forward	SB forecast	Slide	Forward	SB forecast
Botswana	2Y	3.16	3.03	3.32	3.50	1.4	0.1	0.2
	5Y	4.19	4.13	4.32	4.00	1.5	0.1	1.9
	10Y	4.88	4.86	4.97	5.20	1.4	0.5	-1.3
Egypt	2Y	14.96	15.13	14.81	14.80	3.5	4.0	4.0
	5Y	14.35	14.39	14.24	14.25	3.5	4.0	3.9
	10Y	14.67	14.70	14.75	14.55	3.5	3.3	4.3
Ghana	2Y	18.02	17.85	18.65	18.70	4.8	3.6	3.5
	5Y	18.69	18.70	19.08	19.20	4.6	3.5	3.1
	10Y	18.91	18.93	19.19	19.60	4.6	3.5	1.8
Kenya	2Y	9.03	8.79	9.32	9.8	2.6	1.8	1.0
	5Y	10.72	10.63	10.97	10.4	3.0	1.7	3.8
	10Y	11.76	11.74	11.97	11.8	3.1	1.8	2.7
Nigeria	2Y	15.65	15.73	16.39	14.85	3.8	2.8	5.1
	5Y	14.67	14.73	14.95	14.52	3.5	2.7	4.2
	10Y	14.26	14.28	14.42	14.63	3.5	2.8	1.7
Tanzania	2Y	9.43	8.91	10.19	11.3	3.2	1.1	-0.7
	5Y	13.38	13.18	14.00	13	4.0	1.2	4.6
	10Y	15.50	15.45	16.03	15.4	4.1	1.3	4.4
Uganda	2Y	12.27	12.08	12.67	12.4	3.4	2.4	2.9
	5Y	13.55	13.51	13.82	14.4	3.5	2.5	0.5
	10Y	14.08	14.08	14.29	14.7	3.5	2.5	0.3
Zambia	2Y	30.81	30.31	33.51	31.20	8.3	4.2	7.2
	5Y	31.16	31.29	32.72	31.60	7.5	4.1	6.8
	10Y	30.51	30.58	31.69	30.80	7.4	4.2	6.8

Source: Bloomberg; Standard Bank Research

Notes: Yield curve scenarios: "Slide" = the bond yields slide along the unchanged yield curve, "Forward" = the yield curve evolves according to its embedded forward rates, "SB forecasts" = Standard Bank Research expectations

Asset class expected performance summary (3 months)

	FX	Rates	Credit
Angola	↑	↓	↓
Botswana	↑	↓	↓
Côte d'Ivoire	↑	→	→
Democratic Republic of the Congo	↓	↓	↓
Egypt	↑	↑	↑
Ethiopia	↓	→	→
Ghana	→	↑	↑
Kenya	↓	→	→
Malawi	→	↓	↓
Mauritius	↓	→	↓
Morocco	↑	→	↑
Mozambique	→	↓	↓
Namibia	↑	↑	↑
Nigeria	↑	↓	↑
Rwanda	→	↓	→
Senegal	↑	→	↑
Tanzania	→	↓	→
Tunisia	→	↓	↓
Uganda	↓	↓	↓
Zambia	↓	→	↓

Source: Bloomberg; Standard Bank Research

Recommended trades: performance

Open Trades

Positions	Entry date	Entry yield, %	Entry FX	Latest yield, %	Latest FX	Total return, %	
						Since inception	1-month
Ghana: buy GHGB '20	31-Oct-16	20.00	3.99	18.15	5.46	18.9	0.4
Zambia: buy ZAMGB '26	18-Nov-16	24.50	9.81	30.50	13.15	21.4	1.8
Egypt: buy Egypt '27	23-Nov-17	15.88	17.69	14.66	16.35	44.9	6.5
BEAC: sell USD/XAF 2-y NDF	24-Nov-17	4.25	550.62	1.82	593.04	0.4	-0.8
Nigeria: buy NIGB '27	27-Feb-18	13.70	361.00	14.19	362.92	16.9	0.8
Nigeria: buy 12-m T-bill	01-Nov-18	16.82	363.00	12.32	362.92	13.3	1.0
Egypt: buy 12-m T-bill	06-Nov-18	19.78	17.92	16.61	16.35	26.6	3.0
Angola: sell USD/AOA 12-m NDF	09-Jan-19	18.77	311.62	15.68	369.37	-4.5	-1.3
Total portfolio internal rate of return since prev. AMR (15-May-2019)						5.2	

Source: Bloomberg; Standard Bank Research

Mauritius: fiscal policy expansionary, ahead of elections

GDP growth: slack in accommodation and food services sector


The economy grew by 3.8% y/y in 2018; we keep our forecast at 3.8% y/y for 2019 and 3.2% y/y for 2020. In Q1:19, the economy grew by 3.3% y/y, driven by financial & insurance activities, construction and the information and communication sectors which grew by 5.0% y/y, 5.7% y/y and 8.7% y/y respectively. A downward revision may be prompted by the worsening accommodation and food services sector, as both tourism arrivals and earnings have declined this year. Statistics Mauritius has kept their GDP estimate at 3.9% y/y for 2019.

The accommodation and food services sector decelerated by 1.1% y/y in Q1:19, from 4.2% y/y growth in Q4:18, compared to 4.8% y/y same time last year. The sector improved on average 6.5% y/y in 5-y, of which each first quarter improved on average 5.9% y/y. Statistics Mauritius cut its forecast for tourist arrivals this year to 1.435m, from 1.450m, and revenue to MUR66.0bn, from MUR67.5bn. In the past 6-y, the first four months of the year accounted for 33% - 34% of total annual arrivals. In the 4-m to Apr, arrivals amounted to 460.8k, which is about 33% of the 1.39m total arrivals in 2018 and slightly lower than 461.3k same time in 2018. Granted, the strategies to mitigate seasonality in tourist arrivals through marketing could support the sector.

The rebound from recession in the agriculture sector from Q3:18, growing by 2.6% y/y in Q1:19, should mitigate downside from the accommodation and food services sector. Although most of the rebound comes from the other sub-sector, the sugarcane sector rebound from recession also added support. We believe that the FY2018/19 budget measures to support sugarcane production, such as the government grant, efforts to meet the loss from the suspension of subsidy, and the introduction of the land data bank should continue to boost the sector. However, we continue to flag downside risks from sugar prices and the abolished sugar quota in the EU which will likely restrain the sector's performance.

The fiscal budget indicated that the capital expenditure will increase by 27.8% y/y in FY2019/20. This will continue to boost the construction sector, which has recovered from a prolonged recession in 2017, and in turn, has boosted growth in 2018. We expect this sector to continue to support growth in the medium- to long term with major projects introduced in the budget FY2019/20. We still see growth in 2019, driven by domestic demand. Private expenditure will likely continue to find a boost from the increased tax exemption threshold ranging from MUR5,000 to MUR45,000, depending on the number of dependents and other tax exemption and credit introduced by the budget F2019/20.

Composition of GDP by demand




Source: Statistics Mauritius; Standard Bank Research

Contribution to GDP by sector (% of total)

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
Agriculture	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.1	2.8
- Sugarcane	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.4
Manufacturing	13.6	13	12.4	11.8	11.3
- Food excl. sugar	4.8	4.5	4.4	4.2	4.1
- Textiles	4.1	4.1	3.7	3.4	3.2
Construction	4.2	3.9	3.7	3.8	4.1
Wholesale and retail trade	10.6	10.7	10.6	10.7	10.8
Transport and storage	5.4	5.5	5.6	5.6	5.7
Accommodation and food services	5.5	5.7	6.1	6.3	6.4
Financial and insurance services	10.5	10.6	10.7	10.5	10.3
Real estate services	5.4	5.3	5.2	5.2	5.2
Public administration	5.5	5.5	5.7	5.5	5.5
ICT	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.7	3.7
Education	4.2	4.3	4.4	4.3	4.3

Source: Statistics Mauritius

Tourism trends



Source: Statistics Mauritius; Standard Bank Research

Quarterly indicators

	Q1:18	Q2:18	Q3:18	Q4:18	Q1:19	Q2:19e	Q3:19f	Q4:19f	Q1:20f	Q2:20f	Q3:20f	Q4:20f
GDP (% y/y) pa	4.1	3.6	3.3	4.1	3.3	3.8	3.9	4.0	3.4	2.9	3.2	3.2
CPI (% y/y) pe	6.7	1.0	1.9	1.8	-1.4	0.6	1.7	1.8	2.6	3.0	2.9	3.0
M2 (% y/y) pe	9.1	9.4	4.4	6.3	6.4	6.4	7.3	8.1	8.1	8.3	8.3	8.3
CA/GDP (%) pe	-1.6	-6.5	-8.1	-6.8	-3.7	-5.7	-9.5	-5.9	-5.4	-3.4	-10.3	-6.0
FX reserves (USD bn) pe	6.2	6.7	6.4	6.4	6.2	7.2	6.8	6.7	6.9	7.6	7.2	7.1
Import cover (mths) pe	10.0	11.1	10.6	10.5	10.6	11.8	11.2	11.1	11.5	12.5	11.9	11.8
3-m rate (%) pe	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.0	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
5-y rate (%) pe	4.9	4.3	4.3	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2
USD/MUR pe	33.45	34.56	34.37	34.30	34.93	35.65	36.57	36.88	35.57	35.64	34.64	34.51

Source: Bank of Mauritius; Statistics Mauritius; Bloomberg; Standard Bank Research

Notes: pa – period average; pe – period end

Political risks: stable ahead of elections

Mauritian politics is characterised by the dominance of coalitions amongst the various parties, dominated by a core of political leaders. The main parties have rotated amongst themselves in forming coalitions with considerable ease, the only consideration seemingly being whether party leaders can get along. However, the current ruling coalition was formed purely based on political necessity, not ideological ties.

In the 2014 elections, the ruling coalition comprised of the Mouvement socialiste militant (MSM), Parti mauricien social-démocrate (PMSD) and Muvman Liberater (ML). In 2016, the PMSD led by Xavier-Luc Duval pulled out of the Alliance Lepep. Between MSM and ML, Alliance Lepep still holds 39 out of 69 seats but no longer has the outright majority to change the constitution, thus slowing policymaking progress. It has thus been difficult to pass the Electoral Reforms Bill. There has been some disagreement about some proposals on the electoral reforms bill. Amongst some, increasing the number of parliamentarians and the proposal for the party list of candidates to be picked by a party leader is not supported.

As it stands, it is difficult to tell how alliances will be formed for the 2019 elections. It seems like the parties are considering only engaging in forming coalition post-election if need be. Granted, it is possible that some parties are already having informal discussions around the possibilities of forming coalitions. But still, it is also a tough call to identify which party will win the elections, as voters are likely to be wary about corruption within the ruling coalition. Firstly, Pravind Jugnauth, although the supreme court overturned the verdict, was charged and found guilty of a conflict of interest in Jun 16. The formerly sacked president was accused of misusing an NGO credit card.

Political realignments will occur ahead of these elections, as always, but they do not seem ever to lead to any significant change in macroeconomic policy.

Election results (2014)

Legislative election	Seats	% of votes
Alliance Lepep (MSM-PMSD-ML)	51	49.8
PTR-MMM Alliance	16	38.5
Rodrigues People's Organisation	2	1.1
Total	69	89.4

Source: Mauritius Electoral Commission

Balance of payments: stable

The strength of capital and financial inflows can be inferred from the rising trajectory in FX reserves. They reached USD7.2bn in Jul, covering what the BOM estimates was 12.1-m of goods and services import from USD6.8 in May and USD6.3 in Dec 18. The improvement in Jul is equivalent to 10.9 % y/y increase, compared to 22.9% y/y in Jul 18. In the 12-m to Jul 19, improvements averaged 9.6% y/y compared to 20.8% y/y same time last year. We do not anticipate a continuously rising trend; FX reserves will likely be sitting at USD6.7bn covering 11.1 of good and service imports by year-end.


Interestingly, portfolio investment income flows have been overshadowed by direct investment, and other investment flows for some time now. Portfolio investment recorded a net outflow of USD3.0bn, while other investment and direct investment posted USD1.7bn and USD1.1bn respectively.

To be sure, the C/A deficit is likely to widen this year and in 2020. We forecast the deficit reaching 5.9% of GDP in 2019 and 6.0% of GDP in 2020, from 5.5% of GDP in 2017. The trade deficit is likely to widen further, with import growth continuing to grow into year-end and next year.

Goods exports recovered after consistently declining since Sep 2015, falling at an average 8.7% y/y pace per quarter in the seven quarters to Mar 17, thereafter falling on average 2.6% y/y pace per quarter in six quarters to Sep 18, before improving on average 6.3% y/y in Q4:18 and Q1:19.


Also, tourist arrivals are unlikely to rebound meaningfully. Tourist arrivals grew by a cumulative 0.7% y/y in the 7-m to Jul, while earnings were down 8.3% y/y in the 5-m to May. Moreover, Q2:19 BOP figures are yet to be released, but these trends were a factor that supported service exports in Q1:18. For 2019, we expect net services exports to be broadly unchanged from 2018.

Current account developments



Source: Bank of Mauritius; Standard Bank Research

FX reserves



Source: Bank of Mauritius

FX outlook: USD/MUR still tracking EUR/USD

BOP developments would provide sufficient reasons for the MUR to strengthen. Despite a widening C/A deficit, financial inflows are more than enough to ensure that FX reserves rise. However, policy management matters a lot too for the trajectory of USD/MUR. As it happens, the BOM manages the MUR, looking to stabilise its nominal trade-weighted value. Given this policy steer, the direction of USD/MUR depends on the trajectory of EUR/USD. The outlook for the latter, perhaps rising above 1.11 in the next year, points to USD/MUR declining in the medium term. However, there is a chance that in the near term, EUR/USD will decline somewhat (perhaps below 1.10), leading to further weakness in USD/MUR. Of course, all this depends on whether the BOM chooses to keep the MUR stable in trade-weighted terms. However, both trade-weighted indices that the BOM calculates show that the MUR has depreciated by about 3.4% since the beginning of the year.

USD/MUR: forwards versus forecasts



Source: Bloomberg; Standard Bank Research

Monetary policy: unchanged, with a cutting bias


The next BOM MPC meeting is scheduled for 20 Nov after the MPC decided to cut the rate by 15 bps in Aug. Seven out of eight MPC members had voted for the cut, with just one voting for a 25 bps cut. The MPC in cutting the rate considered the subdued global economic environment, lower domestic economic growth in Q1:19, and benign inflation.

Although we doubt that the committee will find anything that may have changed considerably domestically to prompt a change in its assessment, further external weakness may be a predominant factor. The BOM will not hesitate to lower the rate if the economic activity weakens, but for now, we believe that the BOM will likely conduct its monetary policy through liquidity management rather than changing monetary policy stance this year.

We change our inflation forecast to 0.7% y/y, from 1.5% y/y in 2019, compared to 3.2% y/y in 2018. Needless to say, the low inflation in 2019 is mainly due to a high base effect. Inflation increased by 0.8% y/y in Jul, from 0.6% y/y in Jun and -1.4% y/y in Mar. Since peaking at 6.7% y/y in May 18, headline inflation has declined consistently, reaching the lowest levels since 2016. Headline inflation (12-m moving average) was 0.9% y/y in July 19.


Evidently, also from the core inflation figures, there aren't any underlying inflation pressures in the economy. Core inflation has remained muted. It reached 1.4% y/y in Apr and remained there up to Jul from the high of 2.7% y/y in Jan and a benign average 1.8% y/y in 2018. The 12-m moving average of core inflation has hardly budged from 2.0% y/y over the past 4-y. Money supply increased by 7.7% y/y in Jul, from 6.4% y/y in Jun, attributable more to foreign currency deposits. In the 7-m to Jul, money supply averaged 6.6% y/y, compared to 8.5% y/y growth in 7-m to Jul 18 and 8.7% in 7-m to Jul 17.

Inflation and interest rates



Source: Bank of Mauritius; Statistics Mauritius

Monetary statistics




Source: Bank of Mauritius

Equity market outlook: keeping pace with EM

The SEMDEX Index has underperformed EM equities this year, but in 3-m to 02 Sep the SEMDEX outperformed EM equities. In 2019, the SEMDEX index has not kept pace with EM equities, and the correlation has turned negative. However, we doubt that the historical correlation of approximately 90% between these two indexes will fall apart anytime soon.

Foreign investor sales likely drove the market's underperformance. Foreign investors were net sellers of an average of USD12.0m in Q1:19, which is much higher than the net sales by non-residents in Q1:18 of USD3.0m. Macroeconomic fundamentals and expected strong domestic demand relative to external demand should in future be mirrored in listed equities. We also don't see this correlation falling apart over the medium term.

Mauritius equity market



Source: Bloomberg; Standard Bank Research

Fiscal policy: focus on infrastructure and human development

The Mauritius government's emphasis on the FY2019/20 budget to spend on infrastructure and human development will likely prove growth-supportive. The government's fiscal stance is moderately expansionary, with the fiscal deficit excluding grants budgeted at 4.3% of GDP, up from a deficit of 3.9% of GDP in 2018. After total revenue increased by an average of 10.0% y/y in the past 4-y, the government has budgeted for a 10.0% y/y increase from MUR110.7bn in FY2018/19.

Total expenditure is expected to increase by 9.5% y/y, from a projected outturn of MUR126.5bn in FY2018/19, much of which is accounted for by a 27.8% y/y increase in capital expenditure. This will continue to boost the construction sector, which has recovered from a prolonged recession in 2017.

The government is budgeting for a domestic borrowing requirement of MUR35.7bn in FY2019/20, compared to MUR26.7bn in FY2018/19 and net repayment of MUR16.57bn for external debt in FY2019/20, compared to a net external debt repayment of MUR3.5bn in FY2018/19. The fiscal deficit, including grants, is expected at MUR16.9bn, or 3.2% of GDP, from a deficit of MUR15.9bn in 2018, also 3.2% of GDP. The FY2019/20 budget, titled "Embracing a brighter future together as a nation", outlined several initiatives meant to foster higher job-creating growth, invest in the infrastructure of the future and improve the quality of life. However, the government still looks to achieve all that while maintaining overall macroeconomic balance. Hence, the budget deficit remains low.


The government continues to rely on domestic borrowing to finance the deficit. Of course, interest rates are low, partly reflecting subdued economic activity. However, some financing for this ambitious infrastructure drive is obtained externally. The increase in grants to MUR6.3bn from MUR4.2bn is mainly attributable to a MUR4.7bn grant from the Indian government.

Central government budget

	FY2018/19	FY2019/20
Total revenue	21.7	22.1
Total expenditure	24.8	25.3
- Interest	2.6	2.7
- Wages	6.2	5.9
- Capital expenditure	2.6	3.2
Net lending	1.8	0.5
Overall balance (- grants)	-3.9	-4.3
Overall balance (+ grants)	-3.2	-3.2
Net lending to parastatals	0.2	0.2
Net external borrowing	-0.5	-0.4
Net domestic borrowing	5.4	3.7
Donor support (grants)	0.8	1.2

Source: Ministry of Finance and Economic Development

Fiscal deficit




Source: Ministry of Finance and Economic Development

Yields outlook: rangebound

We expect yields to be rangebound over the next 4-6 months. That said, it is worth emphasising that we are likely to see small movements in yields. Over the past 1-y, the 91-d T-bill yield has hardly ventured below 3.0% amid fewer issuances. 5-y bond yields have generally declined over that period, remaining mostly below 4.5%. Furthermore, demand for paper is likely to remain robust partly on account of the persistence of excess liquidity in the money market. While the BOM is continuing to drain liquidity from the market, it still looks reasonable to believe that it will not ultimately tighten liquidity conditions sufficiently to exert upward pressure on yields significantly. In any event, after the 15 bps cut in Aug, it will likely maintain a firm policy stance over the coming 4-6 months. This suggests that yields will be rangebound, as has been the case over the past few months. Nonetheless, yields are near the bottom end of the range of the past year.

Change in yield curve



Source: Bank of Mauritius; Standard Bank Research

Annual indicators

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019f	2020f
Output							
Population (million)	1.26	1.26	1.26	1.26	1.27	1.27	1.27
Nominal GDP (TND bn)	392.1	409.9	434.8	457.5	485.2	534.3	566.0
Nominal GDP (USD bn)	12.8	11.6	12.2	13.3	14.3	15.0	16.0
GDP / capita (USD)	10 137	9 223	9 657	10 509	11 266	11 810	12 653
Real GDP growth (%)	3.7	3.5	3.7	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.2
Sugar production ('000 Tonnes)	400.2	366.1	386.3	386.3	362.3	362.3	372.4
Tourism arrivals ('000)	1 038	1 152	1 275	1 342	1 399	1 391	1 383
Central Government Operations							
Budget balance (excl. Grants) / GDP (%)	-3.2	-3.7	-3.6	-4.2	-4.4	-3.9	-4.3
Budget balance (incl. Grants) / GDP (%)	-3.0	-3.1	-3.5	-3.5	-3.7	-3.2	-3.2
Domestic debt/GDP (%)	42.2	44.3	49.7	51.7	50.2	50.4	48.9
External debt/GDP (%)	16.0	13.3	14.7	12.9	12.1	10.0	8.5
Balance of Payments							
Exports of goods and services (USD bn)	6.27	5.48	5.21	5.21	5.29	5.77	3.28
Imports of goods and services (USD bn)	7.84	6.74	6.46	6.98	7.22	8.23	8.13
Trade balance (USD bn)	-1.57	-1.26	-1.24	-1.77	-1.93	-2.46	-4.85
Current account (USD bn)	-0.71	-0.58	-0.53	-0.80	-0.78	-0.89	-0.97
- % of GDP	-5.57	-4.99	-4.34	-6.05	-5.49	-5.92	-6.02
Capital & Financial account (USD bn)	1.46	1.15	1.27	2.23	2.05	2.21	2.14
- FDI (USD bn)	0.55	0.33	12.97	9.93	6.99	6.52	6.48
Basic balance / GDP (%)	-1.27	-2.14	101.91	68.62	43.55	37.67	34.41
FX reserves (USD bn) pe	3.92	4.26	4.97	5.98	6.35	6.73	7.14
- Import cover (months) pe	5.9	7.1	8.7	9.5	10.5	11.1	11.8
Sovereign Credit Rating							
S&P	nr	nr	nr	nr	nr	nr	nr
Moody's	Baa1	Baa1	Baa1	Baa1	Baa1	Baa1	Baa1
Fitch	nr	nr	nr	nr	nr	nr	nr
Monetary & Financial Indicators							
Consumer inflation (%) pa	3.2	1.3	1.0	3.7	3.2	0.7	2.7
Consumer inflation (%) pe	0.2	1.3	2.3	4.2	1.8	1.8	3.0
M2 money supply (% y/y) pa	8.01	10.62	8.79	9.04	7.73	6.92	8.14
M2 money supply (% y/y) pe	8.74	10.17	9.08	9.27	6.31	8.13	8.31
BOM Policy rate (%) pa	4.65	4.61	4.20	3.83	3.50	3.43	3.35
BOM Policy rate (%) pe	4.65	4.40	4.00	3.50	3.50	3.35	3.35
3-m rate (%) pe	2.50	2.90	2.80	2.40	3.37	3.01	3.45
5-y rate (%) pe	4.70	4.85	3.93	4.25	5.20	5.20	5.20
USD/MUR pa	30.70	35.19	35.63	34.41	34.03	35.72	35.29
USD/MUR pe	31.75	35.85	35.97	33.57	34.30	36.88	34.51

Source: Bank of Mauritius; Statistics Mauritius; Ministry of Finance; Bloomberg; Standard Bank Research

Notes: pa – period average; pe – period end

Glossary

For brevity, we frequently use acronyms that refer to specific institutions or economic concepts. For reference, below we spell out these and provide definitions of some economic concepts that they represent.

14-d	14-day, as in 14-d deposit, which denotes 14 day deposit
10-y	10-year
16 Jan 13	16 January 2013
3-m	3 months
3m	3 million, as in USD3m, which denotes 3 million US dollars
3bn	3 billion, as in UGX3bn, which denotes 3 billion Ugandan shillings
3tr	3 trillion, as in TZS3.0tr, which denotes 3 trillion Tanzanian shillings
AOA	Angola Kwanza
BAM	Bank Al Maghrib
BCC	Banque Central du Congo (Central Bank of Congo)
BCEAO	Banque Central des États de L’Afrique de l’Ouest (Central Bank of West African States)
BCT	Banque Central de Tunisie
BM	Banco de Moçambique
BNA	Banco Nacional de Angola
BOB	Bank of Botswana
BOG	Bank of Ghana
BOM	Bank of Mauritius
BON	Bank of Namibia
BOP	Balance of payments – a summary position of a country’s financial transactions with the rest of the world. It encompasses all international transactions in goods, services, income, transfers, financial claims and liabilities.
BOT	Bank of Tanzania
BOU	Bank of Uganda
BOZ	Bank of Zambia
BR	Bank Rate (Reserve Bank of Malawi)
BRVM	Bourse Régionale des Valeurs Mobilières (Regional Securities Exchange)
BWP	Botswana Pula

C/A	Current account balance. This is the sum of the visible trade balance and the net invisible balance of a country. The latter includes net service, income and transfer payments.
Capital account	Captures the net change in investment and asset ownership for a nation by netting out a country's inflow and outflow of public and private international investment.
CBE	Central Bank of Egypt
CBK	Central Bank of Kenya
CBR	Central Bank Rate
CDF	Congolese Franc
CPI	Consumer Price Index – An index that captures the average price of a basket of goods and services representative of the consumption expenditure of households within an economy.
Discount rate	Policy rate for Bank of Uganda
Disinflation	A decline in the rate of inflation. Here prices are still rising but with a slower momentum.
Disposable income	After tax income
DM	Developed markets
ECB	European Central Bank
EGP	Egyptian pound
EM	Emerging markets
ETB	Ethiopian Birr
Eurobond	A bond denominated in a currency other than the home currency of the issuer.
Exports	The monetary value of all goods and services produced in a country but consumed abroad.
FMDQ	FMDQ OTC Securities Exchange, Nigeria
FX	Foreign Exchange
FY2016/17	2016/17 fiscal year
GCE	Government Consumption Expenditure - Government outlays on goods and services that are used for the direct satisfaction of the needs of individuals or groups within the community. This would normally include all non-capital government spending.
GDE	Gross domestic expenditure, the market value of all goods and services consumed in a country – both private and public – including imports but excluding exports. This is measured over a period of time – usually a quarter/year.
GFCF	Gross Fixed Capital Formation – this is investment spending, the addition to capital stock such as equipment, transportation assets, electricity infrastructure, etc to replace the existing stock of productive capital that is used in the production of goods and services in a given period of time, usually a year/quarter. Normally, the higher the rate of capital, the faster an economy can grow.
GDP	Gross Domestic Product – the monetary value of all finished goods and services produced in a country in a specific period, usually a year/quarter.

GHS	Ghanaian Cedi
H1:16	First half of 2016
Imports	The monetary value of goods and services produced abroad and consumed locally.
Inflation	The rate at which the general level of prices of goods and services are rising. It is usually measured as the percentage change in the consumer price index over a specific period, usually a month/year.
Invisible trade balance	The value of exports of services, income and transfers, less imports of same.
Jan 16	January 2016
KBRR	Kenya Bankers' Reference Rate
KES	Kenya Shilling
KR	Key Rate (Bank Al Maghrib)
KRR	Key Repo Rate
m/m	Month on month, in reference to a rate of change
MAD	Moroccan Dirham
MLF	Marginal Lending Facility
MOF	Ministry of Finance
MPC	Monetary Policy Committee, the committee that makes the decision on policy rates
MPR	Monetary Policy Rate
MUR	Mauritian Rupee
MWK	Malawian Kwacha
MZN	Mozambican Metical
NAD	Namibian Dollar
NBE	National Bank of Ethiopia
NBR	National Bank of Rwanda
NEER	Nominal Effective Exchange Rate. This is the weighted average rate at which a country's currency exchanges for a basket of currencies, usually trading partner currencies. It is measured in index format.
NGN	Nigerian Naira
Nominal GDP	The monetary value of all finished goods and services produced in a country in a specific period, usually a year/quarter, measured in current prices.
NPL	Non-Performing Loans

Parity	Refers to the par or nominal value of a debt instrument. This is usually the price at which the said instrument is redeemed on maturity.
PCE or HCE	Personal or Household Consumption Expenditure: The monetary value of household purchases of durable goods, non-durable goods, semi durables and services within a given period of time, usually a year/quarter.
PR	Policy Rate
Prime rate	key lending rate
q/q	quarter on quarter, in reference to a rate of change
Q1:16	First quarter of 2016
RBM	Reserve Bank of Malawi
Real GDP	The monetary value of all finished goods and services produced in a country in a specific period, usually a year/quarter, measured in constant prices.
REER	Real Effective Exchange Rate. This is the weighted average rate at which a country's currency exchanges for a basket of currencies - usually trading partner currencies - while taking into account any changes in relative prices between the host country and its trading partners. It is often measured in index format.
RWF	Rwandan Frank
SARB	South African Reserve Bank
SDF	Standing Deposit Facility (Mozambique)
SLF	Standing Lending Facility (Mozambique)
T-bill	Treasury bill – A short-dated, government backed security that yields no interest but is issued at a discount over a period of less than one year.
TND	Tunisian Dinar
Treasury bond	A marketable government debt security with a maturity of a year or longer
TZS	Tanzanian Shilling
UGX	Uganda Shilling
USD	US Dollar
VAT	Value Added Tax
Visible trade balance	The value of exports of visible goods less imports.
WAEMU	West African Economic and Monetary Union, also known as Union Economique et Monetaire Ouest Africaine (UEMOA)
XAF	Central African Franc
XOF	West African Franc
y/y	Year on year, in reference to a rate of change

Yield	The return on an investment, usually expressed as a percentage over a period of time, usually a year.
YTD	Year to date
ZAR	South African Rand
ZMW	Zambian Kwacha

Protection of Personal Information Consent

Standard Bank Group, its subsidiaries and associate business units (including Standard Bank Research) have committed to treat the personal information that it collects as private and confidential and has published a comprehensive privacy statement accessible on this [link](#). Should you wish to withdraw your consent to the processing of your personal information kindly email ResearchCompliance@standardbank.co.za

Client:	means, for the purpose of this section, any natural or juristic person acting through their duly authorised representative(s), who has subscribed to or consented to being subscribed to receiving research Services
Personal Information:	means information relating to an identifiable, natural or juristic person, including information relating to race, gender, sex, marital status, nationality, ethnic or social origin, colour, sexual orientation, age, physical or mental health, religion, belief, disability, language, birth, education, identity number, telephone number, email, postal or street address, biometric information and financial, criminal or employment history as well as correspondence sent by the person that is implicitly or explicitly of a private or confidential nature or further correspondence that would reveal the contents of the original correspondence.
Process:	means any operation or activity, whether automated or not, concerning Personal Information, including collection, receipt, recording, organisation, collation, storage, updating, modification, retrieval, alteration, consultation, use, dissemination (whether by means of transmission, distribution or making available in any form), merging, linking, blocking, degradation, erasure or destruction
Research Reports:	means investment research reports, in relation to the Services, prepared by an independent research analyst, non-independent research analyst or strategist who is part of an investment research team in a stock brokerage, global markets desk or corporate and investment bank environment.
Services:	means, <i>inter alia</i> , the provision of the Research Reports and other communications and events with respect to equities, market strategy, companies, industries, commodities and countries and associated sales and trading commentary by Standard Bank Research ("SBR")

CONSENT FOR PROCESSING PERSONAL INFORMATION

1.1 The Client acknowledges that:

- 1.1.1 Applicable laws may at times require SBR to collect the Client's Personal Information;
- 1.1.2 Personal Information may be collected from public sources;
- 1.1.3 SBR may be unable to fulfil its regulatory obligations and provide Services to the Client without Processing the Client's Personal Information;
- 1.1.4 All Personal Information which the Client provides to SBR is voluntarily provided;
- 1.1.5 SBR shall determine the Services and means of Processing any Personal Information that is provided by the Client;
- 1.1.6 Personal Information may be processed by SBR and/ or, The Standard Bank of South Africa Limited and/or its associated entities or duly authorised third party service providers.
- 1.1.7 The Client consents to SBR processing its Personal Information in order to:
 - 1.1.7.1 create and administer the Client's profile as contemplated by regulation;
 - 1.1.7.2 carry out statistical and other analysis to identify potential markets and trends;
 - 1.1.7.3 develop new products and services; and/or
 - 1.1.7.4 any other purpose SBR reasonably believe is required to fulfil its obligations in accordance with regulation or this agreement.
- 1.1.8 The Client has consented to the Processing of its Personal Information for the purpose of clause 1. 7 above.
- 1.1.9 The Client hereby expressly consents that SBR may disclose to or share its Personal Information with duly authorised third parties, which may be located in the Republic of South Africa or other jurisdictions, where it is necessary in order for SBR to fulfil its obligations in accordance with the regulation and/or this agreement.
- 1.1.10 SBR will require any third-party service providers to whom the Client's Personal Information is provided to agree to SBR's data privacy principles policy and practices in accordance with the prevailing regulations including data privacy laws.

Disclaimer

This material is non-independent research. Non-independent research is a "marketing communication"

This material is "non-independent research". Non-independent research is a "marketing communication" as defined in the UK FCA Handbook. It has not been prepared in accordance with the full legal requirements designed to promote independence of research and is not subject to any prohibition on dealing ahead of the dissemination of investment research.

Additional information with respect to any security referred to herein may be made available on request. This material is for the general information of institutional and market professionals' clients of Standard Bank Group (SBG) only. Recipients who are not market professionals or institutional investor customers of SBG should seek advice of their independent financial advisor prior to taking any investment decision based on this communication or for any necessary explanation of its content. It does not take into account the particular investment objectives, financial situation or needs of individual clients. Before acting on any advice or recommendations in this material, clients should consider whether it is suitable for their particular circumstances and, if necessary, seek professional advice. The information, tools and material presented in this marketing communication are provided to you for information purposes only and are not to be used or considered as an offer or the solicitation of an offer to sell or to buy or subscribe for securities or other financial instruments, nor shall it, or the fact of its distribution, form the basis of, or be relied upon in connection with, any contract relating to such action. This material is based on information that we consider reliable, but SBG does not warrant or represent (expressly or impliedly) that it is accurate, complete, not misleading or as to its fitness for the purpose intended and it should not be relied upon as such. The information and opinions contained in this document were produced by SBG as per the date stated and may be subject to change without prior notification. Opinions expressed are our current opinions as of the date appearing on this material only. We endeavour to update the material in this report on a timely basis, but regulatory compliance or other reasons may prevent us from doing so.

SBG or its employees may from time to time have long or short positions in securities, warrants, futures, options, derivatives or other financial instruments referred to in this material. Where SBG designates NON- INDEPENDENT Research to be a "marketing communication", that term is used in SBG's Research Policy. This policy is available from the Research Compliance Office at SBG. SBG does and seeks to do business with companies covered in its non-independent research reports including Marketing Communications. As a result, investors should be aware that the Firm may have a conflict of interest that could affect the objectivity of this report. Investors should consider this report as only a single factor in making their investment decision.

SBG has published a Conflicts of Interest Policy that is available upon request which describes the organisational and administrative arrangements for the prevention and avoidance of conflicts of interest. Further disclosures required under the FCA Conduct of Business Sourcebook and other regulatory bodies are available on request from the Research Compliance Department and or Global Conflicts Control Room, unless otherwise stated, share prices provided within this material are as at the close of business on the day prior to the date of the material. None of the material, nor its content, nor any copy of it, may be altered in any way, transmitted to, copied or distributed to any other party, without the prior express written permission of SBG. All trademarks, service marks and logos used in this report are trademarks or service marks or registered trademarks or service marks of SBG or its affiliates.

SBG believes the information and opinions in the Disclosure Appendix of this report are accurate and complete. Information and opinions presented in the other sections of this communication were obtained or derived from sources SBG believes are reliable, but SBG makes no representations as to their accuracy or completeness. Additional information is available upon request. SBG accepts no liability for loss arising from the use of the material presented in this report, except that this exclusion of liability does not apply to the extent that liability arises under specific statutes or regulations applicable to SBG.

The services, securities and investments discussed in this material may not be available to nor suitable for all investors. Investors should make their own investment decisions based upon their own financial objectives and financial resources and it should be noted that investment involves risk, including the risk of capital loss. Past performance is no guide to future performance. In relation to securities denominated in foreign currency, movements in exchange rates will have an effect on the value, either favourable or unfavourable. Some investments discussed in this marketing communication have a high level of volatility. High volatility investments may experience sudden and large falls in their value causing losses when that investment is realised. Those losses may equal your original investment. Indeed, in the case of some investments the potential losses may exceed the amount of initial investment, in such circumstances you may be required to pay more money to support those losses. Income yields from investments may fluctuate and, in consequence, initial capital paid to make them investment may be used as part of that income yield. Some investments may not be readily realisable and it may be difficult to sell or realize those investments, similarly it may prove difficult for you to obtain reliable information about the value, or risks, to which such an investment is exposed.

This report is issued and distributed in Europe by Standard Advisory London Limited 20 Gresham Street, London EC2V 7JE which is authorised by the Financial Conduct Authority ("FCA"). This report is being distributed in Kenya by Stanbic Bank Kenya ; in Nigeria by Stanbic IBTC; in Angola by Standard Bank de Angola S.A.; into the People's Republic of China from overseas by the Standard Bank Limited; in Botswana by Stanbic Bank Botswana Limited; in Democratic Republic of Congo by Stanbic Bank Congo s.a.r.l.; in Ghana by Stanbic Bank Ghana Limited; in Hong Kong by Standard Advisory Asia Limited; in Isle of Man by Standard Bank Isle of Man Limited; in Jersey by Standard Bank Jersey Limited; in Madagascar by Union Commercial Bank S.A.; in Mozambique by Standard Bank s.a.r.l.; in Malawi by Standard Bank Limited; in Namibia by Standard Bank Namibia Limited; in Mauritius by Standard Bank (Mauritius) Limited; in Tanzania by Stanbic Bank Tanzania Limited; in Swaziland by Standard Bank Swaziland Limited; in Zambia by Stanbic Bank Zambia Limited; in Zimbabwe by Stanbic Bank Zimbabwe Limited; in UAE by The Standard Bank of South Africa Limited (DIFC Branch).

Distribution in the United States: This publication is intended for distribution in the US solely to US institutional investors that qualify as "major institutional investors" as defined in Rule 15a-6 under the U.S. Exchange Act of 1934 as amended, and may not be furnished to any other person in the United States. Each U.S. major institutional investor that receives these materials by its acceptance hereof represents and agrees that it shall not distribute or provide these materials to any other person. Any U.S. recipient of these materials that wishes further information regarding, or to effect any transaction in, any of the securities discussed in this document, must contact and deal directly through a US registered representative affiliated with a broker-dealer registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA). In the US, Standard Bank Group [SBG] has an affiliate, ICBC Standard Securities Inc. located at 520 Madison Avenue, 28th Floor, USA. Telephone +1 (212) 407-5000 which is registered with the SEC and is a member of FINRA and SIPC.

Recipients who no longer wish to receive such research reports should call +27 (11) 415 4272 or email SBRSupport@standardbank.co.za.

In jurisdictions where Standard Bank Group is not already registered or licensed to trade in securities, transactions will only be effected in accordance with the applicable securities legislation, which will vary from jurisdiction to jurisdiction and may require that the trade be made in accordance with applicable exemptions from registration or licensing requirements.

Standard Bank Group Ltd Reg.No.1969/017128/06 is listed on the JSE Limited. SBSA is an Authorised Financial Services Provider and it also regulated by the South African Reserve Bank.

Copyright 2019 SBG. All rights reserved. This report or any portion hereof may not be reprinted, sold or redistributed without the written consent of Standard Bank Group Ltd.

